

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,533	01/24/2002	Nico N. Raczek	01/017 NUT	2351
7	590 03/07/2005		EXAM	INER
ProPat, L.L.C			HENDRICK	S, KEITH D
2912 Crosby R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charlotte, NC	28211-2815		1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/057,533	RACZEK, NICO N.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Keith Hendricks	1761	

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith Hendricks	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI '06.07(f). I on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Actions and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original.	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS For the first sample of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The approprimally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL).		•
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	is of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);		the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) \boxtimes They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: See continuation sheet, attached. (See 37	7 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		(DTO) 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):	Control Clark and an analysis	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b) worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		•	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Attachment to Advisory Action

Continuation of #3:

The amendment will not be considered for the following reasons:

- 1) See the attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
- 2) The amendment to claims 3, 8 and 10 changes the scope of these claims, and raises new issues which would require further consideration and additional search. Such is not proper at this point in prosecution.

(Claim 3): the deletion of "amylase". (Claims 8 & 10): new limitation of "agricultural livestock" to these claims.

* Note that the amendment to claim 10 would not serve to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph with regard to claim 10-11. The proposed amendment to claim 10 is confusing and indefinite.

KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/057,533	RACZEK, NICO N.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Keith Hendricks	1761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ✓ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ✓ E. Other: NEW Claim 14 cannot be marked as "currently amended".
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website a

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Part of Paper No. 03032005

XETH HENDRICKS

PRIMARY EXAMINER